

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

JAPAN DISPLAY, INC., et al

v.

TIANMA MICROELECTRONICS CO., LTD.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:20-cv-00283 -JRG
(Lead Case)**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:20-cv-00284-JRG
(Member Case)**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:20-cv-00285-JRG
(Member Case)**

**MOTION HEARING MINUTES
HELD BEFORE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE RODNEY GILSTRAP
August 17, 2021**

OPEN: 01: 34 PM

ADJOURN: 03:57 PM

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS: See attached

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT: See attached

LAW CLERK: Will Nilsson
Cody Carter

COURT REPORTER: Shawn McRoberts, RMR, CRR

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Andrea Brunson, CP

TIME	MINUTES
01:34 PM	Court opened. Counsel for the parties appeared and announced ready for hearing.
01:36 PM	Court heard argument re: Defendant's Motion to Transfer to the Central District of California (Dkt. No. 69). Mr. Findlay argued for the Defendant.
02:05 PM	Court heard responsive argument by Ms. Preston on behalf of the Plaintiffs.
02:27 PM	Rebuttal argument by Mr. Findlay on behalf of the Defendant.
02:33 PM	Court to hear: (1) Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Invalidity Contentions and Accompanying Technical and Sales Information, and to Strike Defendant's Deficient Eligibility Contentions (Dkt. No. 49); and (2) Defendant's Motion to Compel Plaintiffs to Produce Relevant Information in Plaintiffs' Sole Possession (Dkt. No. 64).
02:36 PM	Subject to the parties' meet and confer efforts, the Court requested an update re: the current state of discovery, document production and outstanding disputes since the June 21, 2021 hearing.

03:07 PM	Court CARRIED its ruling for one week. The parties are ordered to file a joint notice with the Court within one week updating the Court as to resolved and disputed issues.
03:32 PM	Recess.
03:53 PM	Court reconvened.
03:54 PM	Re: Defendant's Motion for Protective Order (Dkt. No. 67). Court DENIED Dkt. No. 67 without prejudice. Following the completion of the deposition of the corporate representative, the Court will consider a new Motion for Protective Order should the parties file same.
03:56 PM	Mr. Findlay alerted the Court that the parties would be filing a motion requesting a 60 to 90 day extension from its present trial setting.
03:57 PM	Court adjourned.